

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,835	02/14/2001	Dirk Quintens	27500/016	1614
759	90 11/30/2006		EXAMINER	
Joseph T. Guy	Ph.D.		DICUS, 7	ΓAMRA
	cobs & Pollard LLP			DAREN NUMBER
201 W. McBee Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC	29601		1774	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/782,835	QUINTENS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tamra L. Dicus	1774	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany accompany and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	er. cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	,-
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

All prior rejections are withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments to the claims.

Claim Objections

Claims 18 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 18: b-(3,4-epoxycyclohexyl)ethyltrimethoxysilane is misspelled (No e's should be after –ethyl- or between tri- and –thoxysilane. Claim 19: poly carbonate should be one word. Appropriate correction is required).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 6-8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Santo et al.

Santo teaches according to instant claim 1, an ink jet recording comprising a support (substrate of inherently opaque paper or sheet of filed alumina hydrate, polycarbonate, or resincoated paper, or easy-adhesion layer undercoated substrate,11:24-52, meeting wet strength paper of instant claim 1 and opaque further to instant claim 16), and an ink receiving layer comprising an inorganic porous pigment (boehmite and amorphous alumina hydrate, 4:1-23, 8:55-60, 9:64-10:30, per instant claim 1-2), binder silanol modified polyvinyl alcohol (9:64-10:30, 10:8-10),

Art Unit: 1774

and film-forming polymer latexes inherently having a glass transition temp lower than 50 degrees C selected from SBR, methacrylate-butadiene copolymers, and acrylic ester copolymer latexes (styrene-butadiene and acrylate latex, 9:64-10:30, per instant claims 1, 6-8). Claims 1-2, 6-8 and 16 are met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santo in view of '964 Ogawa et al.

Santo essentially teaches the claimed invention as set forth above.

Santo does not expressly disclose an inorganic amorphous pigment of silica as per instant claims 3-4, while teaching amorphous porous alumina hydrate pigments may be mixed with silica.

'964 Ogawa teaches amorphous silica and porous alumina hydrate pigments are interchangeable white pigments equivalents used in ink receiving layers for the purpose of making them white (6:25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Santo to include a silica pigment as instant claims 3-4 because '964 Ogawa employs them to add white color and large pore volume to an ink-receiving layer (6:25-45, '964 Ogawa). Regarding the size range, it

Application/Control Number: 09/782,835

Art Unit: 1774

has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santo in view of Furukawa et al.

Santo essentially teaches the claimed invention as set forth above.

Regarding instant claims 9 and 10, Santo is silent to further comprising a cationic binder (inclusive of "mordant") like that of instant claims 9 & 10.

Furukawa teaches cationic polymers are conventionally used with polyvinyl alchol-series polymers in ink-receiving layers, including the one listed in claims 9 and 10 for improving the ink fixation (col. 4, lines 44-50 and col. 12, lines 18-25 and lines 40-45.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Santo to include a cationic binder as instant claims 9 and 10 because Furukawa conventionally employs cationic mordants for ink and dye fixing improving ink absorption within an ink-receiving layer (4: 44-68, 5:1-20, Furukawa).

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santo et al. in view of Mochizuki et al. and further in view of USPN 6,022,440 to Nordeen et al.

Santo is relied upon above for all it teaches as set forth above.

With regard to claims 11-13, and 15, Santo is silent to an ink jet recording element having an adhesive polymer disposed between a support and ink receiving layer. However, Santo suggests including an easy-adhesion layer as an under coat as per instant claim 11.

Art Unit: 1774

Nordeen teaches an ink jet image composite and the method of making such, including an adhesive polymer disposed between a support and ink receptive (receiving) layer, where the adhesive may be a releasable thermoplastic layer of suitable adhesive polymers such as copolymer styrene-butadiene, acrylics, vinyl acetates (vinyl acetates includes vinylesters), and their combinations at col. 2, lines 33-40 and col. 6, lines 41-55.

With regards to claims 12-14, Mochizuki teaches several examples of acrylate latex polymers at col. 6, lines 30-44 including the copolymers of instant claims 12 and 14, and the polyacrylate latex of instant claim 13.

It is well known in the art that the copolymers and polymers claimed are adhesive polymers as taught by Nordeen at col. 6, lines 46-55.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Santo to include an adhesive layer as claimed because Santo suggests an adhesive undercoat layer and Mochizuki and Nordeen provide adhesive latex polymers and copolymers in order to produce an ink jet recording element which provides additional assistance for release of the ink receiving layer from the support and provide added protection for a transferred image composite at col. 6, lines 41-46.

Claims 5, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over-Santo in view of Shaw-Klein et al. (SK)

Santo essentially teaches the claimed invention and is relied upon for all its teachings as set forth above.

Art Unit: 1774

Santo does not expressly disclose the modification degree range of silanol and the viscosity requirements of the aqueous solution of instant claim 5 or producing silanol modified PVA from hydrolyzing copolymer vinyl acetate and silane monomer vinyltrimethoxysilane per instant claim 17. Also Santo is silent to teaching PVA modified with the silanes of instant claim 18.

SK teaches silanol modified PVA reacted with silanes having hydrolysable groups such as alkoxysilanes including those of instant claims 17 and 18 (3:50-60, vinyltrimethoxysilane, 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane, and b-(3,4-epoxycyclohexyl)ethyltrimethoxysilane).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Santo to include a PVA modified as claimed because SK teaches preferred silane group agents for ease of handling and reactivity within an ink receiving layer (3:14-60, 4:10-40, SK). The modification degree and viscosity are properties of the PVA and as such are either inherent to the end product or if not inherent, obvious to have conventionally made as the same starting elements are provided by the prior art.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santo in view of '964 Ogawa et al. and further in view of Mukoyoshi et al.

Santo teaches according to instant claim 1, an ink jet recording comprising a support (substrate of inherently opaque paper or sheet of filed alumina hydrate, polycarbonate, or resincoated paper, or easy-adhesion layer undercoated substrate,11:24-52, meeting wet strength paper of instant claim 19), and an ink receiving layer comprising an inorganic porous pigment (boehmite and amorphous alumina hydrate, 4:1-23, 8:55-60, 9:64-10:30, per instant claim 19),

Application/Control Number: 09/782,835

Art Unit: 1774

binder silanol modified polyvinyl alcohol (9:64-10:30, 10:8-10), and film-forming polymer latexes inherently having a glass transition temp lower than 50 degrees C selected from SBR, methacrylate-butadiene copolymers, and acrylic ester copolymer latexes (styrene-butadiene and acrylate latex, 9:64-10:30, per instant claim 19).

Santo does not expressly disclose an inorganic amorphous pigment of silica as per instant claim 19, while teaching amorphous porous alumina hydrate pigments may be mixed with silica.

'964 Ogawa teaches amorphous silica and porous alumina hydrate pigments are interchangeable white pigments equivalents used in ink receiving layers for the purpose of making them white (6:25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Santo to include a silica pigment as instant claim 19 because '964 Ogawa employs them to add white color and large pore volume to an ink-receiving layer (6:25-45, '964 Ogawa). Regarding the size range, it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284.

Further regarding claim 19, the combination does not teach (d) dimethylamineepichlorohydrine copolymer (instant claim 19).

Mukoyoshi teaches an ink jet recording having amorphous silica, styrene-butadiene copolymer and epichlorohydrin-dimethylamine copolymer (dimethlyamine-epichlorohydrine copolymer equivalent) at col. 11, lines 30-60 exhibiting an effect of enhancing the water-resistance of printed ink images.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination to include dimethylamine-epichlorohydrine copolymer because Mukoyoshi teaches an ink jet

recording exhibiting an effect of enhancing the water-resistance of printed ink images (Abstract, col. 9, lines 1-40, col. 10, lines 60-68, and col. 11, lines 30-60 of Mukoyoshi).

References of Interest

Maruyama teaches a modified polyvinyl alcohol made from hydrolyzing a copolymer of vinyl acetate and produced by introducing silyl groups like trimethylmethoxysilane (4:5-42) and vinyltrimethoxysilane (5:24-27, instant claim 17), where a known degree of modification is from 0.01 to 10% by mole (7:1-10) and the viscosity is no greater than 70 cp (abstract).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,835 Page 9

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Tamra L. Dicus Examiner Art Unit 1774

November 21, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit 1724